

# Sequence-Based Characterization of Microalgal Microbiomes: Impact of DNA Extraction Protocol on Yield and Community Composition

# Line Roager,<sup>a</sup> DEva C. Sonnenschein,<sup>a</sup> DLone Gram<sup>a</sup>

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

Microbiology Spectrum

ABSTRACT The bacterial communities associated with microalgae are vital for the growth and health of the host, and engineering algal microbiomes can enhance the fitness of the algae. Characterization of these microbiomes mostly relies on sequencing of DNA, which can be extracted with an array of protocols that potentially impact DNA quantity and quality and thus potentially affect subsequent analyses of microbiome composition. Here, we extracted DNA from Isochrysis galbana, Tetraselmis suecica, and Conticribra weissflogii microbiomes using four different protocols. DNA yield and quality was greatly impacted by the choice of extraction protocol, whereas microbiome composition determined by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was only impacted to a minor degree, with microalgal host species being the main determinant of microbiome composition. The *I. galbana* microbiome was dominated by the genus Alteromonas, whereas the microbiome associated with T. suecica was dominated by Marinobacteraceae and Rhodobacteraceae family members. While these two families were also prevalent in the microbiome associated with C. weissflogii, Flavobacteriaceae and Cryomorphaceae were also highly dominant. Phenol-chloroform extraction resulted in higher DNA quality and quantity compared to commercial kits; however, because they have other advantages such as high throughput and low toxicity, commercial kits can be employed to great benefit for the characterization of microalgal microbiomes.

**IMPORTANCE** Microalgae are very important as primary producers in the ocean, but also as forthcoming sustainable producers of biotechnologically interesting compounds. Accordingly, the bacterial microbiomes associated with microalgae are attracting increasing attention due to their effects on the growth and health of microalgae. Since most members of these microbiomes cannot be cultured, knowledge about community composition is best obtained using sequencing-based methods. This study evaluates the impact of DNA extraction methods on DNA quantity and quality along with sequence-based characterization of the bacterial microbiome composition of three microalgae: *lsochrysis galbana*, *Tetraselmis suecica*, and *Conticribra weissflogii*.

**KEYWORDS** DNA extraction, microalgae, microbiome analyses, phycosphere

**M** icroalgae are the primary producers of the oceans and are responsible for 50% of global CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. They are also of significant (bio)industrial interest, widely used as feed in aquaculture and as producers of high-value bio-products, including biofuels, in biotechnology (1–3). Understanding and ensuring rapid and stable algal growth is essential in both nature and bio(industrial) production. Algal growth and metabolism are strongly influenced by the microbial community (the microbiome) surrounding the algae (4–7), and axenic (sterile) algae often grow poorly compared to non-axenic algae (8, 9). Also, the production of valuable compounds such as polyunsaturated fatty acids is enhanced by the microbiome (10). The term "phycosphere" describes the region around microalgal cells inhabited by microbes; it is inspired by the term "rhizosphere," which defines the niche

**Editor** Jeffrey A. Gralnick, University of Minnesota Twin Cities

**Copyright** © 2023 Roager et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Lone Gram, gram@bio.dtu.dk.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 3 September 2022 Accepted 20 February 2023 Published 28 March 2023 surrounding plant roots in which plants chemically interact with other organisms (11, 12). In the phycosphere, algae release nutrients, including dissolved organic carbon, representing a hot spot for heterotrophic bacteria (13). This competitive microenvironment attracts and harbors diverse microbial taxa with the potential to produce bioactive compounds (7, 14–17).

In many studies, analyses of the algal microbiome have been based on cultivation, isolation, and identification of the microorganisms present (18-20); however, as in most ecological niches, not all microorganisms can be cultured under standard laboratory conditions. Therefore, studies of microbiomes have increasingly relied on culture-independent approaches such as amplicon-sequencing (e.g., 16S rRNA gene) and shotgun metagenomics (21-24). A key first step in any sequence-based analysis is DNA extraction, and a multitude of kits and procedures have been developed for this purpose. Components of the sample can often interfere with particular steps of an extraction protocol (25, 26). Therefore, each sample type requires careful assessment of the DNA extraction protocol with respect to both the amount and quality of DNA extracted, but also to determine whether extraction affects the subsequent microbial profile obtained from sequence-based analysis. For human samples, comparisons of extraction methods have shown varying results, with some studies finding large effects on microbial community composition (27) and others finding small impacts which are overshadowed by other factors, such as the specific host (25, 28). In other sample types, such as marine biofilms, microbial community compositions have been influenced by the DNA extraction methods used (29), whereas the microbial communities of ants were not significantly impacted (26), underlining the need for specific evaluations of the impact of extraction methods on specific sample types.

We and others have studied algal microbiomes relying on phenol-chloroform DNA extraction as a well-known standard practice for amplicon and metagenomics sequencing (15, 16, 24, 30–32). However, it is laborious, time-intensive, and includes hazardous reagents, and using any of the commercially available, standardized kits in a high-throughput format would be preferable. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to determine how four commonly used DNA extraction protocols affected both the yield and quality of the DNA sample and whether this had any effect on the microbiome composition as determined by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. We tested these kits on the microbial samples from three algal species—a chlorophyte, a haptophyte, and a diatom—to evaluate whether the methods were suitable across different algal taxa.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Xenic cultures of the three microalgae grown for 7 days contained  $6.1 \times 10^6$ ,  $7.1 \times 10^5$ , and  $8.6 \times 10^4$  algal cells per mL for *lsochrysis galbana, Tetraselmis suecica*, and *Conticribra weissflogii*, respectively. In the attached (A) fraction of bacteria in the microbiomes, *l. galbana* harbored  $6.9 \times 10^5$  cells/mL, *T. suecica* harbored  $2.3 \times 10^5$  cells/mL, and *C. weissflogii* harbored  $5.8 \times 10^6$  cells/mL. Generally, the free-living (FL) fractions had higher estimates of bacterial cells per mL, with  $1.2 \times 10^6$  cells/mL in the *l. galbana* culture,  $2.3 \times 10^6$  in the *T. suecica* culture, and  $3.7 \times 10^7$  in the *C. weissflogii* culture. Thus, bacterial loads across the different xenic microalgal cultures were comparable.

**DNA yield and quality.** Depending on the DNA extraction method used, the fraction captured, and the algal host species, DNA yield and quality differed greatly (Fig. 1, Table S2). Generally, higher yields and qualities were retrieved from the attached fraction compared to the free-living fraction, with a mean DNA yield of 131.3  $\mu$ g (range: 0.456 to 388  $\mu$ g) from filters of the A fraction, whereas filters of the FL fraction had a mean DNA yield of 6.23  $\mu$ g (range: 0.204 to 19.6  $\mu$ g), likely due to the lower overall biomass in the FL fraction. In terms of DNA extraction methods, the phenol-chloroform extraction protocol clearly resulted in higher yields and quality for the A fraction compared to all other extraction methods (Tukey's test after an analysis of variance [ANOVA], adjusted *P* = 0), while the other methods yielded similar amounts and qualities of DNA with small differences across algal host species (Fig. 1A).

For the FL fraction, the phenol-chloroform extraction protocol, PowerSoil kit, and Nucleospin kit yielded similar amounts of DNA, whereas the PowerWater kit yielded





**FIG 1** Total DNA yield ( $\mu$ g) from 10-mL xenic microalgal cultures separated, (A) attached, and (B) free-living fractions (n = 4). DNA was extracted from three host species of microalgae, *Isochrysis galbana* (brown), *Tetraselmis suecica* (orange), and *Conticribra weissflogii* (green), utilizing four different protocols (Nucleospin, phenol-chloroform, PowerSoil, and PowerWater).

smaller amounts across all algal host species (Fig. 1B). According to Tukey's test after an ANOVA, none of the extraction protocols made a significant difference on the DNA yield for the FL fraction.

The phenol-chloroform extraction protocol has previously been the standard practice in our own and many other laboratories; however, due to its use of the hazardous reagents phenol and chloroform (which may cause carcinogenesis, nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, etc.) and because the protocol is quite time-consuming (5 to 6 h + an over night step (O/N) step), alternatives have been sought out in the form of commercial kits. While the PowerWater kit is optimized for aquatic samples, which should be similar to the ones in the present study, the PowerSoil and Nucleospin kits have also been successfully applied previously to similar samples in our lab. In the samples described here, the phenol-chloroform extraction protocol yielded higher DNA quantity and quality compared to the commercial kits. This is likely because the organic extraction caused less loss of DNA compared to retention of DNA on silica columns, which all three commercial kits rely on. It is possible that the A fraction samples contained more proteins and other contaminants from lysed microalgal and bacterial cells due to the higher total biomass compared to the samples from the FL fraction; hence, these were better separated from the DNA during the phenol-chloroform extraction. However, this should be further corroborated by further studies.

Phenol-chloroform extraction has consistently resulted in high DNA yields in other comparative studies (25, 26, 29), similar to the findings in the present study. However, Douglas et al. (27) obtained low DNA purities utilizing a phenol-chloroform extraction, which is in contrast to the present study and several others, where phenol-chloroform extraction resulted in high DNA quality and quantity (25, 26). Commercial kits such as the Nucleospin, PowerSoil, and PowerWater kits have generally resulted in moderate to small amounts and qualities of DNA in other studies (25-27, 29), but specific kits can also yield similar amounts of DNA compared to phenol-chloroform extraction for specific applications, e.g., Rubin et al. (26) found the Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit to be equally efficient to a phenol-chloroform protocol for DNA extraction from microbial communities associated with ants. Sui et al. (25) also found that high-throughput kits such as the Promega Maxwell HT 96 gDNA Blood Isolation System resulted in DNA quantities comparable to those of phenol-chloroform extraction for different human samples. Although none of the selected commercial kits resulted in DNA quantities or qualities as high as those of phenol-chloroform extraction in the present study, the commercial kits did provide enough DNA for subsequent sequencing with very few exceptions.

Sequencing data and alpha diversity. A total of 43,491,818 paired end reads were obtained from the 16S rRNA gene amplicons, with a mean of 56,818 reads per sample (Table S2). This resulted in a total of 144 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) after denoising, merging, and filtering out chloroplasts, with a mean ASV frequency per sample of 17,133. In total, 108 of these were detected in samples extracted with the Nucleospin kit, whereas 111, 92, and 105 were detected in samples extracted with the phenol-chloroform, PowerSoil, and PowerWater protocols, respectively (Fig. 2). However, we computed alpha diversity measures (Shannon diversity and Chao1 indices) and compared them across extraction protocols and saw no significant differences (Fig. 3). Shannon diversity and Chao1 index (richness) measures were comparable for microbiomes associated with T. suecica and C. weissflogii, but significantly lower in terms of both richness (Chao1) and diversity for microbiomes associated with I. galbana, where especially Alteromonas dominated the microbiomes (Fig. 4). In general, microbiomes were comprised of 10 to 30 unique ASVs. This points to microbiomes possibly being reduced over time since the microalgae had been in xenic laboratory culture for prolonged periods. Similar species richness and diversity have previously been observed in other amplicon sequencing studies of microalgal microbiomes (22, 24, 30), both from microalgal cultures from culture collections and from microalgae isolated from natural environments. However, other studies have found higher richness in microalgal microbiomes from laboratory cultures (15, 33), demonstrating that factors other than natural or culture conditions and extraction methods affect diversity and species richness in microalgal microbiomes. Alpha rarefaction curves of samples in the present study confirmed that appropriate sequencing depths had been reached (Fig. S2). Together, these findings confirm that relative abundances were suitable for further analyses of microbiome compositions and beta diversity assessments.

**Beta diversity and microbiome compositions.** Overall, microbiome compositions varied greatly across microalgal host species, and much less within microbiomes from the same host species (Fig. 5). This was confirmed statistically by a permutational multivariate



**FIG 2** Venn diagram of number and percentages of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) shared between microalgal microbiomes characterized by 16S amplicon sequencing and DNA extracted with four different protocols; phenol-chloroform (PC, mint), PowerSoil (PS, light blue), PowerWater (PW, lime), and Nucleospin (NS, rose).

ANOVA (PERMANOVA) (34), as approximately 91% of the variation in the microbiome composition data could be explained by microalgal host species ( $R^2 = 0.914$ , P = 0.001, permutations = 999). In contrast, the DNA extraction method had little impact on microbiome composition, representing only 0.7% of the variation (PERMANOVA;  $R^2 = 0.007$ , P = 0.001, permutations = 999), which does not reflect the differences in DNA yield and quality seen across the various methods.

Finally, we observed a clear difference in the microbiome composition of each host alga between the A and FL fractions; this was especially clear when we accounted first for host species (Fig. S1) and subsequently for fraction, where approximately 3% of the variation could be explained by this combined effect (PERMANOVA, species:fraction,  $R^2 = 0.032$ , P = 0.001, permutations = 999). When we performed a PERMANOVA for the microbiomes associated with each host species individually, fraction was the biggest determinant of variation, explaining around 43% of microbiome composition variation for *l. galbana*-associated



FIG 3 Alpha diversity measures of microbiomes associated with the three host microalgal species *I. galbana* (orange), *T. suecica* (green), and *C. weissflogii* (brown). (A) Shannon's diversity index. (B) Chao1 index. DNA was extracted utilizing four different protocols: Nucleospin, phenol-chloroform, PowerSoil, and PowerWater.



FIG 4 Relative abundance of the top 10 most abundant taxonomic families in microbiome samples associated with *I. galbana*. Samples are grouped by the four DNA extraction protocols utilized and by attached (A) and free-living (FL) fractions. Samples with very low read numbers may show different compositions compared to other replicates.

microbiomes (PERMANOVA,  $R^2 = 0.427$ , P = 0.001, permutations = 999), approximately 64% for *T. suecica*-associated microbiomes (PERMANOVA,  $R^2 = 0.637$ , P = 0.001, permutations = 999), and approximately 56% for *C. weissflogii*-associated microbiomes (PERMANOVA,  $R^2 = 0.561$ , P = 0.001, permutations = 999).

These results reflect previous studies of microalgal microbiomes in which host species was a determining factor for microbiome composition in the phycosphere. Although other



**FIG 5** Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of the microbiome compositions of microbiota associated with three species of microalgae: *I. galbana* (brown), *T. suecica* (orange), and *C. weissflogii* (green). To assess microbiome compositions, DNA was extracted utilizing four different protocols: Nucleospin (circle), phenol-chloroform (triangle), PowerSoil (square), and PowerWater (plus). The majority of beta diversity variation is explained by host species of the microbiome ( $R^2 = 0.91$ , *P* value < 0.001, PERMANOVA, perm. = 999).



Remaind



**FIG 6**: Relative abundance of the top 10 most abundant taxonomic families in microbiome samples associated with *T. suecica*. Samples are grouped by the four DNA extraction protocols utilized and by attached (A) and free-living (FL) fractions. Samples with very low read numbers may show different compositions compared to other replicates.

parameters not evaluated here, such as dissolved oxygen, pH, nitrate, etc., may also drive microbiome composition, other studies have described similar findings. Krohn-Molt et al. (24) found microbiomes associated with Scenedesmus, Micrasterias, and Chlorella to be significantly different across host species as assessed by both 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and metagenomics. Similarly, Ahern et al. (35) found microbiomes associated with isolates of the same diatom species, Thalassiosira rotula, to be different between strains, suggesting that microalgal microbiomes may be specific to host species all the way to the strain level; this would be in concordance with the large difference in microbiome composition observed between the distantly related microalgal host species investigated in the present study. Significant differences between attached and free-living fractions of microalgal microbiomes have also been observed in both freshwater (36) and marine species (31). Finally, while some studies have found microbiome composition to be largely impacted by DNA extraction protocols (27, 29), others have found little to no impact, in concordance with this study (25, 26, 28). Samples with especially low biomass can be impacted by contamination from DNA extraction kits and other reagents (37). One study found the PCR master mix to be the primary source of contamination (38), whereas others found nucleic acid extraction kits to be the main sources (39, 40). In the present study, the small but significant effect of DNA extraction kits on microbiome compositions could have been caused by reagent contamination in the DNA extraction kits but could also have been caused by differences in lysis efficiency, especially because the four protocols utilized have different lysis methods. While the bacterial loads in this study were not very high, they were well above the thresholds of 10<sup>3</sup> bacterial cells/mL recommended by Salter et al. (37); thus, contamination from kits should not greatly impact microbiome composition, which may be the effect seen in this study. These results emphasize the need to assess the impact of extraction methods on microbiome composition for specific sample types, because it seems to be vastly different across extraction methods, bacterial loads, systems, and samples. For the present study, it should be noted that these results are based on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, which has known limitations such as 16S rRNA gene copy number and primer bias. Hence, other sequencing methods such as shotgun metagenomics could yield different results in terms of the impact of extraction methods, which should be further examined in future studies.

Overall, the microalgal microbiomes as assessed by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing were dominated by *Proteobacteria*, especially *Gamma*- and *Alphaproteobacteria*, and *Bacteriodetes*, especially the *Flavobacteriaceae* family was abundant in all microbiomes (Fig. 4, 6, and 7). The microbiomes associated with *I. galbana* were dominated largely by





**FIG 7**: Relative abundance of the top 10 most abundant taxonomic families in microbiome samples associated with *C. weissflogii*. Samples are grouped by the four DNA extraction protocols utilized and by attached (A) and free-living (FL) fractions. Samples with very low read numbers may show different compositions compared to other replicates.

Alteromonas, whereas the families Marinobacteraceae and Rhodobacteraceae were prevalent in the T. suecica microbiomes. Finally, the C. weissflogii microbiomes were comprised of mainly Rhodobacteraceae, Flavobacteriaceae, Marinobacteraceae, and Cryomorphaceae. For the T. suecica microbiome, the A fraction was specifically associated with the families Methylophagaceae, Phycisphaeraceae, and Hyphomonadaceae. Similarly, the A fraction of the C. weissflogii microbiomes contained Rhizobiaceae in addition to microbiome members prevalent in both the A and FL fractions. Rhizobiaceae was also observed at higher abundances in the A fraction than in the FL fraction of the I. galbana microbiomes, along with higher abundances of Rhodobacteraceae and lower abundances of Cyclobacteriaceae and Hyphomonadaceae. Engineering the phycosphere microbiome in a beneficial and stable direction requires knowledge of microbial players and may correlate algal health and growth with key actors in the microbiome.

Previous studies of microalgal microbiomes have found equally different compositions across host species to those found in the present study. Some similar patterns of microbiomes being dominated by Proteobacteria and Bacteriodetes were found previously by Krohn-Molt et al. (24) using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, although the families within these phyla were mostly different from those seen in this study, with Sphingomonadaceae dominating the microbiomes of Micrasterias and Comamonadaceae dominating the microbiomes of Scenedesmus. These families are barely present in the microbiomes characterized in this study, possibly because the microalgal host species in this study are marine while those utilized by Krohn-Molt et al. (24) are freshwater species. In studies with marine algal host species, the microbiomes of diatoms Asterionellopsis glacialis and Nitzschia longissima were dominated by members of the family Rhodobacteraceae (22), which was also abundant in the diatom microbiome characterized here. Another abundant member of the N. longissima microbiome was an Oceanicola sp. (22), belonging to the Roseobacteraceae family, which was not observed in our diatom microbiomes. For haptophytes, one study found that the microbiome of E. huxleyi was comprised mainly of Flavobacterales and Rhodobacterales (15), which were also abundant orders in the microbiomes in the present study associated with all three host species. Alteromonadales members were also present in the Emiliania huxleyi microbiomes (15), but not nearly as abundant as the Alteromonadales members in the microbiome of the closely related *I. galbana*, where more than 75% of the ASVs belonged to the Alteromonadaceae family in most samples. In another study characterizing the T. suecica microbiome, Dittmann et al. (16) found the microbiomes to be dominated by the orders Rhodobacterales and Flavobacterales along with Phycisphaerales, Cytophagales, and Caulobacterales. These orders were all abundant in the T. suecica microbiome characterized

in the present study; interestingly enough, *Caulobacterales* and *Phycisphaerales* were highly associated with the A fraction, which was not separated from the FL fraction in the study by Dittmann et al. (16). In the *T. suecica* microbiome studied here, however, ASVs belonging to the *Marinobacteraceae* family of the order *Alteromonadales* were highly abundant, making up 30% to 60% of the microbiome, and while *Alteromonadales* was among the 10 most abundant orders in the *T. suecica* microbiome studied by Dittmann et al. (16), it did not comprise more than 5%. This suggests that microalgal microbiomes may have some host selection at the species level, but with variations perhaps to the strain or individual culture level, as suggested by Ahern et al. (35).

ASVs identified as chloroplasts were found mostly in the A fraction, but also in small amounts in some samples from the FL fraction. Samples from the A fraction of microbiomes associated with *T. suecica* were especially enriched in chloroplast ASVs, with relative abundances of 64% to 95%, whereas the A fraction of *I. galbana* microbiomes only contained 7% to 49% chloroplast ASVs. The A fraction of *C. weissflogii* microbiomes contained very small amounts of chloroplast ASVs, between 0% and 15%, likely due to the difficulty of extracting DNA from the diatom due to its silica shell. Other studies have found that extraction methods which utilize a combination of lysis methods, as opposed to only one at a time as in the present study, resulted in higher diatom DNA yields (41, 42).

**Conclusion.** In conclusion, DNA quality and quantity were greatly impacted by the DNA extraction protocol chosen, especially for the attached fractions of the microalgal microbiomes. A phenol-chloroform extraction protocol resulted in the highest DNA yields and qualities compared to commercial kits. Conversely, DNA extraction protocols had very little impact on microalgal microbiome composition as characterized by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. Hence, commercial kits for DNA extraction are just as suitable as phenol-chloroform extraction for 16S amplicon-based community composition analyses of microalgal microbiomes, whereas other applications in which high DNA yield and quality is of utmost importance can benefit from utilizing phenol-chloroform extraction. Commercial kits may have other advantages over phenol-chloroform extractions, such as lower reagent toxicity, that can be deemed more important than high DNA quantities in some cases.

Overall, the present study may provide valuable information on extraction method selection for those planning microalgal microbiome studies and underline the need for evaluating the impact of extraction methods for selected systems and samples in microbiome studies.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cultivation of microalgae.** Three species of xenic microalgae were kindly provided by aquaculture industry partners or purchased from culture collections: *lsochrysis galbana* (an aquaculture production in Spain), *Tetraselmis suecica* (an aquaculture production in Greece), and *Conticribra weissflogii* CCAP 1085/18. *l. galbana* and *T. suecica* were routinely cultivated in f/2 medium (43, 44) without silica prepared with 3% Instant Ocean (f/2-Si 3% IO; Aquarium Systems). *C. weissflogii* was cultivated in f/2+Si 3% IO.

**Harvest of microbiome and separation of fractions.** *I. galbana, T. suecica*, and *C. weissflogii* were cultivated in 300-mL cultures for 7 days until reaching early stationary phase at 18°C and ~50  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with gentle, sterile aeration. Next 10 mL of outgrown cultures was filtered onto 47-mm, Ø (pore size) 5- $\mu$ m polycarbonate (PC, GE Water & Process Technologies) filters to capture the particle-attached fraction of bacteria in the microbiomes, and the run-through was then sequentially filtered onto 47-mm Ø 0.2- $\mu$ m PC filters (MontaMil, PCC047020) to capture the free-living fraction of bacteria in the microbiomes. This was done in 16 technical replicates per microalgal species, resulting in a total of 96 filters.

**Enumeration of algal and bacterial cells.** Cell counts of algal and bacterial cells were determined in an experimental setup identical to that of the cultures harvested for microbiome characterization. Xenic cultures of the three microalgae were cultivated for 7 days in 300-mL cultures at 18°C and ~50  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with gentle, sterile aeration. Microalgal cell counts were then determined by microscopy counting in a Neubauer-improved chamber, and bacterial cell counts were determined using SYBR Gold and fluorescence microscopy as previously described (45). Five mL of the xenic cultures was filtered onto 25-mm Ø 5- $\mu$ m PC filters to capture the A fraction of bacteria, and the run-through was then sequentially filtered onto 25-mm Ø 0.2- $\mu$ m PC filters to capture the FL fraction. Filters were then stained with SYBR Gold and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX51).

**DNA extractions.** DNA was extracted from the filters utilizing four different extraction methods or commercial kits. DNA from each microalga and each fraction was extracted in quadruplicate using the DNeasy PowerWater kit (Qiagen), DNeasy PowerSoil kit (Qiagen), NucleoSpin Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel), and a phenol-chloroform extraction protocol adapted from Boström et al. (46) (Fig. 8). The filters were cut into small pieces using sterile scissors before DNA extraction for all protocols except the DNeasy PowerWater kit, which is



**FIG 8** Overview of the main steps of the four DNA extraction protocols with a focus on sample lysis (step 1), clarification of contaminants (step 2), isolation of DNA from samples (step 3), and final elution of DNA (4). Inspired by Vasselon et al. (42).

optimized for uncut filters. For the commercial kits, the suppliers' protocols were followed. Both the DNeasy PowerWater kit and the DNeasy PowerSoil kit protocols contain mechanical lysis steps in the form of bead beating, which was applied to the samples. An additional lysis step at 65°C for 10 min was performed as part of the extraction using the DNeasy PowerWater kit as recommended by the supplier for hard-to-lyse samples. whereas the DNeasy PowerSoil kit protocol contains a default thermal lysis step at 70°C for 10 min. The Nucleospin Tissue kit protocol utilizes proteinase K for enzymatic lysis. For the phenol-chloroform protocol, the cut filters were submerged in 1 mL lysis buffer (400 mM NaCl, 750 sucrose, 20 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mg/mL lysozyme [pH 8.5]) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Proteinase K was added to a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/mL along with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) to a final concentration of 1% vol/vol, and samples were then incubated overnight at 55°C with agitation at 60 rpm. Phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1 vol/vol/vol) was added in a volume equal to the combined volume of the added lysis buffer, proteinase K, and SDS. This was mixed by vortexing and subsequently centrifuged for 5 min at 4°C at 20,000  $\times$  g. Chloroform:isoamylalcohol (24:1 vol/vol) was added at an equal volume to the supernatant. After vortexing and centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C at 20,000  $\times$  g, the supernatant was transferred and 0.1 volume (compared to supernatant volume) of sodium acetate (3 M) (pH 5.6) was added along with 0.6 volume of ice-cold isopropanol. Samples were then incubated at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 2 h before centrifugation for 20 min at 4°C at 20,000  $\times$  g. The supernatant was then discarded and the pellet washed with 500 µL ice-cold ethanol (70% vol/vol) and centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C at 20,000  $\times$  g. Finally, the supernatant was discarded, and the air-dried pellet was resuspended in 50  $\mu$ L TE buffer pre-warmed to 56°C. DNA concentrations were measured using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer with the high sensitivity (HS) assay kit, and DNA quality and purity were determined using a DeNovix DS-11+ spectrophotometer. Quality assessments were made by assigning pluses based on the 260/280 and 260/230 ratios and spectral patterns, which indicated purity.

**Amplicon sequencing of 16S rRNA gene.** The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene of all samples was amplified by PCR using the primer set 341f (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and 805r (5'-GACTAC HVGGGTATCTAATCC-3') (47) with 30 different barcodes (Table S1). Amplification was performed using TEMPase Hot Start 2× Master Mix (Ampliqon), 10  $\mu$ M forward primer, and 10  $\mu$ M reverse primer. The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation step of 15 min at 95°C; 30 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 95°C, annealing for 30 s at 60°C, and elongation for 30 s at 72°C; and finally an elongation step at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were pooled in equal amounts according to the barcodes as determined by measurement with the HS assay kit and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument with paired-end 250-bp reads (Novogene). To obtain enough reads per sample, the amplicons were sequenced in several lanes on an Illumina Flow Cell, resulting in two additional technical replicates per sample.

**Analysis of sequencing data.** Sequencing data were analyzed using QIIME 2 version 2021.8 (48) and R version 4.1.2. The multiplexed raw reads with barcodes in-sequence were imported into QIIME 2 and de-multiplexed using the cutadapt demux-paired plugin (49). The demultiplexed data were denoised and dereplicated, and the reads were merged using the DADA2 plugin (50). An ASV table was also constructed using the DADA2 plugin of QIIME 2 (50). The taxonomy of reads was assigned by the feature-classifier plugin (51, 52) based on a classifier trained on the Silva database 138 (53) with the primers used for PCR amplification mentioned above and 99% similarity OTUs. Then, all reads classified as chloroplasts as well as reads present in less

10

10.1128/spectrum.03408-22

than two samples were filtered out before further analysis with the q2-taxa filter plugin (48). For phylogenetic analysis, a phylogenetic tree was created using MAFFT and FastTree (54, 55), and phylogenetic analyses of alpha and beta diversity were performed using the diversity plugin (56) at an even sampling depth of 3,000. Significant differences in beta diversity were tested based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities by PERMANOVA with the beta-group-significance and adonis plugins (34) on the whole data set as well as subsets by host algal species. Based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix, which was imported into R v4.1.2 with the qiime2R package (57), nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was performed using the metaMDS function of the vegan package (58).

**Data availability.** The raw sequencing data are available at the NCBI SRA under BioProject ID PRJNA855439, Biosample numbers SAMN29492049, SAMN29492050, SAMN29492051, SAMN29492052, SAMN29492053, SAMN29492054, SAMN29492055, and SAMN29492056.

### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, PDF file, 2.6 MB.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the aquaculture farms for providing algal cultures and Mikael Lenz Strube for input on statistical analyses. Figure 8 was created with BioRender.com. This research was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (grant no. NNF20OC0064249).

We declare no conflicts of interest.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Wijffels RH, Barbosa MJ. 2010. An outlook on microalgal biofuels. Science 329:796–799. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1189003.
- Lian J, Wijffels RH, Smidt H, Sipkema D. 2018. The effect of the algal microbiome on industrial production of microalgae. Microb Biotechnol 11: 806–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13296.
- Camacho F, Macedo A, Malcata F. 2019. Potential industrial applications and commercialization of microalgae in the functional food and feed industries: a short review. Mar Drugs 17:312. https://doi.org/10.3390/md17060312.
- Segev E, Wyche TP, Kim KH, Petersen J, Ellebrandt C, Vlamakis H, Barteneva N, Paulson JN, Chai L, Clardy J, Kolter R. 2016. Dynamic metabolic exchange governs a marine algal-bacterial interaction. Elife 5:e17473. https://doi.org/10 .7554/eLife.17473.
- Amin SA, Green DH, Hart MC, Küpper FC, Sunda WG, Carrano CJ, Karl DM. 2009. Photolysis of iron-siderophore chelates promotes bacterial-algal mutualism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:17071–17076. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0905512106.
- Sonnenschein EC, Phippen CBW, Bentzon-Tilia M, Rasmussen SA, Nielsen KF, Gram L. 2018. Phylogenetic distribution of roseobacticides in the *Roseobacter* group and their effect on microalgae. Environ Microbiol Rep 10: 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12649.
- Sonnenschein EC, Syit DA, Grossart H-P, Ullrich MS. 2012. Chemotaxis of Marinobacter adhaerens and its impact on attachment to the diatom Thalassiosira weissflogii. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:6900–6907. https://doi .org/10.1128/AEM.01790-12.
- Natrah FMI, Bossier P, Sorgeloos P, Yusoff F, Defoirdt T. 2014. Significance of microalgal-bacterial interactions for aquaculture. Rev Aquaculture 6:48–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12024.
- Biondi N, Cheloni G, Rodolfi L, Viti C, Giovannetti L, Tredici MR. 2018. Tetraselmis suecica F&M-M33 growth is influenced by its associated bacteria. Microb Biotechnol 11:211–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12865.
- Liu B, Eltanahy EE, Liu H, Chua ET, Thomas-Hall SR, Wass TJ, Pan K, Schenk PM. 2020. Growth-promoting bacteria double eicosapentaenoic acid yield in microalgae. Bioresour Technol 316:123916. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech .2020.123916.
- Bell W, Mitchell R. 1972. Chemotactic and growth responses of marine bacteria to algal extracellular products. Biological Bull 143:265–277. https://doi .org/10.2307/1540052.
- Seymour JR, Amin SA, Raina J-B, Stocker R. 2017. Zooming in on the phycosphere: the ecological interface for phytoplankton–bacteria relationships. Nat Microbiol 2:17065. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.65.
- Cirri E, Pohnert G. 2019. Algae-bacteria interactions that balance the planktonic microbiome. New Phytol 223:100–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15765.
- Meyer N, Bigalke A, Kaulfuss A, Pohnert G. 2017. Strategies and ecological roles of algicidal bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 41:880–899. https://doi.org/10 .1093/femsre/fux029.

- Dittmann KK, Sonnenschein EC, Egan S, Gram L, Bentzon-Tilia M. 2019. Impact of *Phaeobacter inhibens* on marine eukaryote-associated microbial communities. Environ Microbiol Rep 11:401–413. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1758-2229.12698.
- Dittmann KK, Rasmussen BB, Melchiorsen J, Sonnenschein EC, Gram L, Bentzon-Tilia M. 2020. Changes in the microbiome of mariculture feed organisms after treatment with a potentially probiotic strain of *Phaeobacter inhibens*. Appl Environ Microbiol 86:e00499-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00499-20.
- Seyedsayamdost MR, Case RJ, Kolter R, Clardy J. 2011. The Jekyll-and-Hyde chemistry of *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis*. Nat Chem 3:331–335. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nchem.1002.
- Schwenk D, Nohynek L, Rischer H. 2014. Algae-bacteria association inferred by 16S rDNA similarity in established microalgae cultures. Microbiologyopen 3:356–368. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.175.
- Sandhya S v, Preetha K, Nair AV, Antony ML, Vijayan KK. 2017. Isolation, characterisation and phylogenetic diversity of culturable bacteria associated with marine microalgae from saline habitats of south India. Aquatic Microb Ecol 79:21–30. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01815.
- Riquelme CE, Avendaño-Herrera RE. 2003. Bacteria-microalga interaction in the marine environment and their potential use in aquaculture. Chilean J Nat History 76. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-078X2003000400014.
- Sapp M, Schwaderer AS, Wiltshire KH, Hoppe HG, Gerdts G, Wichels A. 2007. Species-specific bacterial communities in the phycosphere of microalgae? Microb Ecol 53:683–699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-006-9162-5.
- Behringer G, Ochsenkühn MA, Fei C, Fanning J, Koester JA, Amin SA. 2018. Bacterial communities of diatoms display strong conservation across strains and time. Front Microbiol 9:659. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00659.
- Kimbrel JA, Samo TJ, Ward C, Nilson D, Thelen MP, Siccardi A, Zimba P, Lane TW, Mayali X. 2019. Host selection and stochastic effects influence bacterial community assembly on the microalgal phycosphere. Algal Res 40:101489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.101489.
- Krohn-Molt I, Alawi M, Förstner KU, Wiegandt A, Burkhardt L, Indenbirken D, Thiess M, Grundhoff A, Kehr J, Tholey A, Streit WR. 2017. Insights into microalga and bacteria interactions of selected phycosphere biofilms using metagenomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic approaches. Front Microbiol 8:1941. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01941.
- 25. Sui HY, Weil AA, Nuwagira E, Qadri F, Ryan ET, Mezzari MP, Phipatanakul W, Lai PS. 2020. Impact of DNA extraction method on variation in human and built environment microbial community and functional profiles assessed by shotgun metagenomics sequencing. Front Microbiol 11:953. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00953.
- Rubin BER, Sanders JG, Hampton-Marcell J, Owens SM, Gilbert JA, Moreau CS. 2014. DNA extraction protocols cause differences in 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing efficiency but not in community profile composition or structure. Microbiologyopen 3:910–921. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.216.

- Douglas CA, Ivey KL, Papanicolas LE, Best KP, Muhlhausler BS, Rogers GB.
  2020. DNA extraction approaches substantially influence the assessment of the human breast milk microbiome. Sci Rep 10:123. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41598-019-55568-y.
- Willner D, Daly J, Whiley D, Grimwood K, Wainwright CE, Hugenholtz P. 2012. Comparison of DNA extraction methods for microbial community profiling with an application to pediatric bronchoalveolar lavage samples. PLoS One 7:e34605. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034605.
- Corcoll N, Österlund T, Sinclair L, Eiler A, Kristiansson E, Backhaus T, Martin Eriksson K. 2017. Comparison of four DNA extraction methods for comprehensive assessment of 16S rRNA bacterial diversity in marine biofilms using highthroughput sequencing. FEMS Microbiol Lett 364:fnx139. https://doi.org/10 .1093/femsle/fnx139.
- Harrold ZR, Hausrath EM, Garcia AH, Murray AE, Tschauner O, Raymond JA, Huang S. 2018. Bioavailability of mineral-bound iron to a snow algal-bacterial coculture and implications for albedo-altering snow algal blooms. Appl Environ Microbiol 84:e02322-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02322-17.
- Majzoub ME, Beyersmann PG, Simon M, Thomas T, Brinkhoff T, Egan S. 2019. *Phaeobacter inhibens* controls bacterial community assembly on a marine diatom. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 95:fiz60. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/ fiz060.
- Ramanan R, Kang Z, Kim B-H, Cho D-H, Jin L, Oh H-M, Kim H-S. 2015. Phycosphere bacterial diversity in green algae reveals an apparent similarity across habitats. Algal Res 8:140–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.02.003.
- Richter L v, Mansfeldt CB, Kuan MM, Cesare AE, Menefee ST, Richardson RE, Ahner BA. 2018. Altered microbiome leads to significant phenotypic and transcriptomic differences in a lipid accumulating chlorophyte. Environ Sci Technol 52:6854–6863. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b06581.
- 34. Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecol 26:32–46. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993 .2001.01070.x.
- Ahern OM, Whittaker KA, Williams TC, Hunt DE, Rynearson TA. 2021. Host genotype structures the microbiome of a globally dispersed marine phytoplankton. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:e2105207118. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.2105207118.
- Jackrel SL, Yang JW, Schmidt KC, Denef VJ. 2021. Host specificity of microbiome assembly and its fitness effects in phytoplankton. ISME J 15:774–788. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00812-x.
- Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, Calus ST, Cookson WO, Moffatt MF, Turner P, Parkhill J, Loman NJ, Walker AW. 2014. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. BMC Biol 12:87. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z.
- Stinson LF, Keelan JA, Payne MS. 2019. Identification and removal of contaminating microbial DNA from PCR reagents: impact on low-biomass microbiome analyses. Lett Appl Microbiol 68:2–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.13091.
- Drengenes C, Wiker HG, Kalananthan T, Nordeide E, Eagan TML, Nielsen R. 2019. Laboratory contamination in airway microbiome studies. BMC Microbiol 19:187. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1560-1.
- Sabatier M, Bal A, Destras G, Regue H, Quéromès G, Cheynet V, Lina B, Bardel C, Brengel-Pesce K, Navratil V, Josset L. 2020. Comparison of nucleic acid extraction methods for a viral metagenomics analysis of respiratory viruses. Microorganisms 8:1539. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8101539.
- Eland LE, Davenport R, Mota CR. 2012. Evaluation of DNA extraction methods for freshwater eukaryotic microalgae. Water Res 46:5355–5364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.07.023.
- Vasselon V, Domaizon I, Rimet F, Kahlert M, Bouchez A. 2017. Application of high-throughput sequencing (HTS) metabarcoding to diatom biomonitoring: do DNA extraction methods matter? Freshwater Sci 36:162–177. https://doi.org/10.1086/690649.

- 43. Guillard RRL. 1975. Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates, p 29–60. *In* Smith WL, Chanley MH (ed), Culture of marine invertebrate animals. Springer, Boston, MA.
- Guillard RRL, Ryther JH. 1962. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I. *Cyclotella nana* Hustedt and *Detonula confervacea* Cleve. Can J Microbiol: 229–239. https://doi.org/10.1139/m62-029.
- Noble RT, Fuhrman JA. 1998. Use of SYBR Green I for rapid epifluorescence counts of marine viruses and bacteria. Aquatic Microb Ecol 14: 113–118. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame014113.
- Boström KH, Simu K, Hagström Å, Riemann L. 2004. Optimization of DNA extraction for quantitative marine bacterioplankton community analysis. Limnol Oceanogr Methods 2:365–373. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2004.2.365.
- 47. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO. 2013. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res 41:e1. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks808.
- 48. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H, Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ, Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, da Silva R, Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang K, bin Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, et al. 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37:848–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41587-019-0209-9.
- Marcel M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J 17:10. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200.
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2 : high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869.
- Werner JJ, Koren O, Hugenholtz P, DeSantis TZ, Walters WA, Caporaso JG, Angenent LT, Knight R, Ley RE. 2012. Impact of training sets on classification of high-throughput bacterial 16s rRNA gene surveys. ISME J 6: 94–103. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.82.
- Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, Dillon M, Bolyen E, Knight R, Huttley GA, Caporaso JG. 2018. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. Microbiome 6: 90. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z.
- Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V, Vanderplas J, Passos A, Cournapeau D, Brucher M, Perrot M, Duchesnay É. 2011. Scikit-learn: machine learning in Python. J Machine Learning Res 12:2825–2830. https://doi.org/10.48550/ arXiv.1201.0490.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2: approximately maximumlikelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS One 5:e9490. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0009490.
- Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, Amir A, Bittinger K, Gonzalez A, Lozupone C, Zaneveld JR, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Birmingham A, Hyde ER, Knight R. 2017. Normalization and microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. Microbiome 5:27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168 -017-0237-y.
- 57. Bisanz JE. 2018. qiime2R: importing QIIME2 artifacts and associated data into R sessions. v0.99. https://github.com/jbisanz/qiime2R.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2020. vegan: Community Ecology Package.